9 10 11 12 13 4 5 16 7 18 8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH GARCIA, et al.,

No. C 06-00255 CRB

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

BAYSIDE FUNDING GROUP, et al.,

v.

Defendants

Now pending before the Court is the motion to dismiss of defendant Patric Cuspard, sued as Bayside Funding Group. After carefully considering the papers filed by the parties, the Court concludes that oral argument is unnecessary, see Local Rule 7-1(b), and rules as follows:

- 1. Defendant's motion to dismiss the Third Cause of Action for violation of California Civil Code section 1750 et seq. is GRANTED as plaintiffs did not comply with section 1780(2) regarding the filing of an affidavit. The dismissal, however, is granted with leave to file an amended complaint with which plaintiffs concurrently file the required affidavit.
- 2. Defendant's motion to dismiss the claim for injunctive relief is DENIED. The Court cannot determine at this stage of the proceedings that plaintiffs lack standing to pursue injunctive relief even if they themselves have no intention of again doing business with

1

11

12

For the Northern District of California 8 2 9 9 2

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

defendants. Courts have permitted former employees to obtain injunctive relief that benefits

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 17, 2006

separate causes of action..

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE